

Advancing Airway Safety: Ultrasound for Accurate Laryngeal Mask Airway Positioning

Richa C*

Department of Anesthesia and Critical Care, India

***Corresponding author:** Richa Chauhan, Assistant Professor, MBBS, DNB, MNAMS, Department of Anesthesia and Critical Care, VMMC and Safdarjung Hospital, Delhi, India, Tel: +91-8527016051; Email: drrichsilverdust@gmail.com

Received Date: July 08, 2024; **Published Date:** July 24, 2024

Keywords

Laryngeal Mask Airway; Ultrasound Imaging

Abbreviations

LMAs: laryngeal Mask Airways.

Letter to Editor

Dear Editor,

I am writing to highlight the significant potential of ultrasound in ensuring the correct placement of laryngeal mask airways (LMAs). As the use of LMAs becomes more widespread in both elective and emergency airway management, the need for accurate placement is paramount to prevent intraoperative and postoperative complications¹ and ensure effective ventilation.

Traditional methods for confirming LMA placement, such as non-visualizable clinical tests² and capnography, though effective, can be unreliable, especially in critical settings where rapid and accurate confirmation is required. Ultrasound offers a non-invasive, real-time diagnostic and therapeutic solution that can augment these traditional methods.

Ultrasound-Guided Confirmation of LMA Placement

Ultrasound can provide rapid visual confirmation of the LMA cuff's position relative to the laryngeal structures. By placing the ultrasound probe at various defined positions³,

clinicians can visualize the anterior commissure of the vocal cords and the LMA cuff. Proper placement is indicated by the cuff encircling the vocal cords symmetrically without impinging on them. This real-time feedback allows for immediate adjustments if the LMA is improperly positioned, reducing the risk of airway obstruction, gastric insufflation, aspiration, inadequate ventilation.

Advantages of Ultrasound Use

- Non-Invasive and Rapid
- Enhanced Accuracy: Visual confirmation, particularly beneficial in patients with difficult airways or atypical anatomy.
- Training and Skill Development: Incorporating ultrasound in routine airway management training can enhance clinicians' skills, making them more proficient in both elective and emergency scenarios.

Use in Different Populations

The use of ultrasound in airway management, including LMA placement, differs between the pediatric⁴ and adult populations due to anatomical, physiological, and technical factors. Here are some key challenges in the pediatric population:

Anatomical Considerations: The airway structures are smaller and more delicate in children, making them more challenging to visualize and manage. The pediatric airway is also more prone to collapse and obstruction.

Physiological Considerations: Children have higher respiratory rates and lower functional residual capacity, making them more susceptible to rapid desaturation during

airway manipulation. Ultrasound can help quickly confirm LMA placement to minimize hypoxia [1-4].

Technical Considerations

➤ Probe Size and Handling:

Pediatric: Smaller, high-frequency probes are used for pediatric patients to provide better resolution of the small airway structures. Handling and positioning the probe require greater precision due to the limited space.

➤ Image Interpretation:

Pediatric: The interpretation of ultrasound images in children requires a detailed understanding of pediatric airway anatomy and normal developmental variations.

Clinical Implications and Recommendations

Incorporating ultrasound for LMA placement in clinical protocols can improve patient safety and outcomes. I encourage my colleagues to consider integrating ultrasound into their airway management practices and training programs. Further research and clinical trials are warranted to establish standardized guidelines and protocols for ultrasound-guided LMA placement.

In conclusion, ultrasound presents a valuable adjunct in airway management, enhancing the accuracy and safety of LMA placement. By embracing this technology, we can

improve patient care and outcomes in both routine and critical settings.

Thank you for considering this important advancement in airway management.

References

1. Jannu A, Shekar A, Balakrishna R, Sudarshan H, Veena GC, et al. (2017) Advantages, Disadvantages, Indications, Contraindications and Surgical Technique of Laryngeal Airway Mask. Arch Craniofac Surg 18(4): 223-229.
2. Keller C, Brimacombe JR, Keller K, Morris R (1999) Comparison of four methods for assessing airway sealing pressure with the laryngeal mask airway in adult patients. Br J Anaesth 82(2): 286-287.
3. Ajithan SE, Puri A, Kapoor M (2020) Comparison of leakage test and ultrasound imaging to validate ProSeal supraglottic airway device placement. Journal of Anaesthesiology Clinical Pharmacology 36(2): 227-232.
4. Kim J, Kim JY, Kim WO, Kil HK (2015) An ultrasound evaluation of laryngeal mask airway position in pediatric patients: an observational study. Anesth Analg 120(2): 427-432.